

Gary A. Hecker, Esq. (State Bar No. 099008)  
James M. Slominski, Esq. (State Bar No. 166357)  
**THE HECKER LAW GROUP, PLC**  
1925 Century Park East, Suite 2300  
Los Angeles, California 90067  
Telephone: (310) 286-0377  
Facsimile: (310) 286-0488  
Email: [ghecker@hh.com](mailto:ghecker@hh.com)  
[jslominski@hh.com](mailto:jslominski@hh.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Network Video Technologies, Inc.

Robert S. Niemann (SBN 087973 – San Francisco Office)  
George H. Gerstman (Pro Hac Vice – Chicago Office)  
Brian L. Michaelis (Pro Hac Vice – Boston Office)  
**SEYFARTH SHAW LLP**  
560 Mission Street, Suite 3100  
San Francisco, Ca. 94105  
Telephone: (415) 397-2823  
Facsimile: (415) 397-8549  
Email: [rniemann@seyfarth.com](mailto:rniemann@seyfarth.com)  
[ggerstman@seyfarth.com](mailto:ggerstman@seyfarth.com)  
[bmichaelis@seyfarth.com](mailto:bmichaelis@seyfarth.com)

Attorneys for Defendant  
Nitek International, LLC

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NETWORK VIDEO  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

NITEK INTERNATIONAL, LLC;  
and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. C-08-2208 MHP

**STIPULATION TO:**

**1. RESET THE HEARING OF  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS  
FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER  
JURISDICTION; AND**

**2. RESET THE DATE FOR THE CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

**[ACCOMPANIED BY PROPOSED  
ORDER]**

**NEW DATE FOR BOTH:**

**DATE: September 15, 2008  
TIME: 2:00 P.M.  
CRTM: "15"**

**To the Court:**

**Whereas** the Court has set a Case Management Conference in this matter for August 18, 2008;

**Whereas** Defendant has filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction;

**Whereas** Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is currently set for hearing on July 28, 2008;

**Whereas** the Court has ordered the parties to met and confer on a new hearing date for Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction;

**Whereas** the parties have meet and conferred, taking into account the scheduling needs of the parties and counsel in order to properly present the issues to the Court and to account for prior commitments;

**Whereas** in the interests of efficiency and judicial economy, the parties desire to have the Case Management Conference on the same day as the hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss:

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:**

1. The hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is continued from July 28, 2008 to **September 15, 2008** at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 15 before the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel;
2. Plaintiff's Response to said Motion to Dismiss shall be filed on or before **August 4, 2008**;
3. Defendant's Reply shall be filed on or before **September 2, 2008**; and
4. The Case Management Conference is hereby continued from August 18, 2008 to **September 15, 2008**, to follow the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss.

[continued on the next page]

1 The Proposed Order resetting the dates is filed concurrently with this  
2 Stipulation.

3  
4 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**

5  
6 DATED: June 29, 2008

**THE HECKER LAW GROUP, PLC**

7  
8 By: 

Gary A. Hecker, Esq.

9 James M. Slominski, Esq.

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Network Video Technologies, Inc.

11  
12 DATED: June 23, 2008

**SEYFATH SHAW LLP**

13  
14 By: 

Robert S. Niemann

15 George H. Gerstman

16 Brian L. Michaelis

17 Attorneys for Defendant

18 Nitek International, LLC